



**Title:** Untitled

**Author(s):** Dr. Shigeru Kounosu

**Published by:** Worldwide Indigenous Science Network

**Publish date:** 31 August 2013

Disclaimers:

The information and all content provided herein by the Worldwide Indigenous Science Network (WISN) are provided as a service and are for general informational and educational purposes only. Original creator(s) of materials contained herein retain full copyrights. Although WISN uses reasonable efforts to ensure high quality materials, WISN does not guarantee the accuracy or completeness of content. Neither WISN nor any party involved in creating, producing, or delivering this information shall be liable for any damages whatsoever arising out of access to, use of, or inability to use the materials, or any errors or omissions in the content thereof. Users assume all responsibility for the access to and use of these materials.

Translations of any materials into other languages are provided as a convenience, and translation accuracy is not guaranteed nor implied. Users may refer to the original language/official version to ensure accuracy.



wisn.org | 573 Waive'e Street, Lahaina, Hawai'i 96761

[INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK. NO CONTENT]

Native American Science, I hope, stands on "Will To Love/Grace".

Actually, Science has all three phases of "Will" (Hope, Aspiration, Desire, Value, Ideal, Idea, Purpose, Theory Achievement, etc.), "Becoming" (Process, Means, Technology, Know-How, Manipulation, Practice, etc.), and "Being" (Fact, What is, What is given, Existence, Condition, Environment, etc.) But in the Western sense, the "Will" part in Science has been hidden or even denied in the name of "Value Neutrality", or "Objectivity". Only guys like Husserl, Heidegger (Phenomenologists) took issue with this aspect of Science.

To be sure, if you equate "Reason", "Rationality", "Intelligence" with "Science", then the story is different in contexts like "Reason and History", "Theory and Practice" etc. there have been many arguments. But, Philosophers in English speaking countries used not to understand them to have anything to do with "Science". When one is to talk about "Will" in relation to "Science", one has to take "Science" in wider sense. If one does, then Hegel, Nietzsche, et al. were, in fact, talking about "Philosophy of Science". The point is that in English speaking countries, they were not considered to be philosophy of "Science". Bertrand Russell et al. did not understand the "Continental Philosophy of Science". (A. Whitehead is an exception).

Now it appears that things are changing. Gradually, Nietzsche, Hegel, Marx, Husserl, Heidegger, et al --- the whole German Philosophy of Science --- are revived even in American Academia. In terms of "Sociology Of Science", the influence of Frankfurt school on "Science" is coming into the U.S. (Habermas, Ricoeur, et al.) Canada is lagging behind. They dare not say anything until some big names at Oxford or Cambridge start saying things. That is from the "Colonial Mentality" of Canadian Academics. They wait for big Bandwagons to come and offer a ride.

You might try Name Dropping. It is not effective to try justifying and defending Native Science. Instead, you might have to argue that if White Culture refuses to learn Native Science, the world will be destroyed. Just as the missionaries did to natives up to this time, you tell them you want to save their souls, and see how they react.

Whatever anyone says, Love and Care of Gaia/Tree is a Science. [At least it is no less a Science than the Thirst for Power and Greed for Material Possession are Science.] This alternative science may not be suitable for organizing industries and exploiting nature and people. It may not help the present system of Political Economy and its Ideology. But it is necessary wisdom for survival at least, if it fails to reach "Grace". In a sense, it is

more complex and difficult, because this science does not ignore the "Will" part. It does ask what it means to "know". That, however, makes it more interesting. At any rate, there are reasons for me to write like mad. I may be a compulsive writer, but I cannot write fast enough for the needs.

[I wanted to write to you about an article; "Alternative To Western Psychotherapy: The Modern-Day Medicine Man" by William K. Powers (from Beyond Vision U. of Oklahoma Press 1987.) and a book I mentioned to you before The Psychoanalytic Movement by Ernest Gellner (Paladin 1985.)

I think there are parallels among connections of "Psychoanalysis-Therapy", "Social Theory/Ideology-Social Policy/Welfare", "Science-Production", "Education-Culture", and "Native Medicine-Community". Patterns and problems in them may be comparatively identified. But, I could not even start on it as yet.]

Now you know why I am happy to help you. Whether you need or not, I will pursue alternative science in one way or another. You owe me nothing. Rather, I am thankful that you give me the opportunity and motive. I have "means" to complete the "perfect crime". That is beautiful, if not "graceful".

I know you have to do things within a frame related to Native Welfare. The second gateway is Native Education which Leroy probably does. I do Peace Science. The fourth one is Feminist Science, for which I will find someone, somewhere.

Things already start happening. Don't be too defensive. The World is waiting for you. All you have to do is to tell what you are dreaming about or suggest the direction of the Spirit. Once you do that, you will be surprised to find many helpers. Close your eyes and jump off the bridge! At least you get to somewhere.

Yours

Sam K.